Date:

10/7/201

Agency Information

AGENCY:

**HSCA** 

RECORD NUMBER: 180-10117-10011

**RECORD SERIES: NUMBERED FILES** 

**AGENCY FILE NUMBER:** 014637

Document Information

**ORIGINATOR: HSCA** 

FROM:

TO:

TITLE: "HEARING BEFORE THE SELECT COMMITTEE ON ASSASSINATIONS; HOUSE OF REP..

DATE: 03/17/1977

PAGES: 120

SUBJECTS:

HSCA; ADMINISTRATION; STAFF

HSCA; METHODOLOGY SPRAGUE, RICHARD A. LEADS AND INFORMATION

HSCA; WITNESSES

**DOCUMENT TYPE:** TRANSCRIPT

**CLASSIFICATION:** Unclassified

**RESTRICTIONS:** Open in Full **CURRENT STATUS:** Redact

DATE OF LAST REVIEW: 08/16/1993

**OPENING CRITERIA:** 

**COMMENTS:** MLK material, pp. 90-100, 112-119, withdrawn. Box 266.

v9.1

Stenographic Transcript Of

HEARINGS

Before The

SELECT COMMETTEE ON ASSASSINATIONS

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

executive session

Washington, D. C.

March 17, 1977

Alderson Reporting Company, Inc.

Official Reporters

300 Seventh St., S. W. Washington, D. C.

554-2345

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

THURSDAY, MARCH 17, 1977

U. S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
SELECT COMMITTEE ON ASSASSINATIONS
Washington, D.C.

The Committee met, pursuant to notice at 10 a.m. in Room 2220 Rayburn House Office Building, the Honorable Louis Stokes (Chairman of the Committee), presiding.

Present. Representatives Stokes (Presiding) Fauntroy, Eurke, Dodd, Ford, Fithian, Edgar, Devine, Anderson, Preyer, and Thone.

Also present. Richard A. Sprague, Chief Counsel and Staff Director, Bob Tannenbaum, Bob Lehner, Steve Fallis, Al Lewis, Pat Orr, James Wolf, Rebecca Martin, Tom Howorth, Burt Chadak, Bob Morrison, Frank Kelly, Polly Brown, Hank Spring

The Chairman. The meeting will come to order.

We are still in executive session. Supposedly, only
Staff are in the room.

Mr. Lehner. That is true.

The Chairman. There are several matters I thought we might take up this morning. We may have to adjust the schedule a bit, because Mr. Fithian is in a markup situation and has some matters that he wants to bring before the

Bultman

6

.

10

. 11

12

13

14

- 15

16

17

. 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DocId:32266786 Pade 3

2

3

5

6

· 7

9

10

. 11

12

. 13

14

- 15

16

<sub>2</sub>17

19

. 18

20

21

22

23 24

25

Committee. I told him that we would try to adjust to his schedule, whenever he can get over.

One of the things that we have to do is to prepare our report for March 31 for the House. In that conjunction, we have never done anything really with respect to the ordering of the hearings printed, of at least the open sessions that we have had.

I guess from the beginning there has never been any order to the Court Reporters to prepare anything so we are really behind in all of that.

Steven, is that your area?

Mr. Fallis. I will take care of it, if you want.

The Chairman. I think definitely we have to have our hearings printed. Then it is up to the Committee also to decide if any of the matters related to Executive Session hearings are to be released publicly also.

Mr. Devine. Mr. Chairman, how far back are you refer - ring--just since you became Chairman or prior to that time?

The Chairman. I am really open for a discussion on that, Mr. Devine. I don't know how much of what it is, I try to think back of what we did under our previous Chairman, whether there was anything much to be--

Mr. Devine. I think it was preliminary discussion relative to adoption of rules which was finalized since you have been chairman.

The Chairman. Right.

Mr. Devine. So, I am not sure that any useful purpose would be served in having those printed up because the final rules of what we adopt are used for legislation of the legislative report,

Do you know, John?

Mr. Anderson. NO, I do nt think it would be useful. It would be just additional expenses to go ahead and transcribe and print hearings that were inconsequential as to what they produce. So, I think I would be agreeable to implementation of what you say, since you started your tenure as Chairman. That would be adequate.

The Chairman. All right. Then are there any matters you can think of related to sessions that we have had in Executive Session that we ought to release. I suppose that matters in relation to Mr. Sprague's explanations yesterday perhaps ought to be printed up, or we can have some discussion as to whether this should be.

Mr. Edgar. Mr. Chairman, is it proper and possible to have two records made, one from the public sessions since you have been Chairman, and one from the Executive sessions that would be held until we have a careful review of thos?

The Chairman. You mean to have it printed up, Executive Session printed up, for our purposes.

Mr. Edgar. Yes.

1

DocId: 32266786 Page 5

23

\_ 24

25

2

3

5

7

.

10

. 11

12

14

15

16

\_\_

19

. 20

21

22

23

<u>,</u> 24

25

Mr. Devine. I might say, those have a way of getting out.

The Chairman. Yes.

Mr. Devine. And if they are printed up, of course, the Government Printing Office has them. Do you know how many copies are run off?

Mr. Edgar. I do not know what the Security provisions are.

Mr. Devine. I would imagine we could have them transcribed by the Reporter and retained in the custody of the Chairman until such time we feel that information can be released publicly.

Mr Edgar. I think we should have at least, have it typed up and put together by the recorder.

The Chairman. Well, I would entertain a motion that we do that.

Mr. Devine. Mr. Chairman, I would like to have the thoughts of counsel on whether he feels that the information he gave in Executive Session is of such a nature that it should or should not be revealed publicly attithis time.

Mr Sprague. Mr. Devine, there are two things I would say. Each of the hearings in fact whether public or in executive session have been transcribed and are being transcribed, and we have the transcribed testimony in our office. So that which is from the Executive

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

12

. 13

11

14

· 15

<sub>;</sub>17

. 18

19 20

21

23

. 24

25

Sessions is kept under lock and key, the others are there and available. Both are available, so that everything is being transcribed when you talk about printing, I think you are talking about the taking of the transcribed notes and arranging for the Printing Office to print them up.

Mr. Devine. As part of Committee hearings and part of the Committee report are two different things. The different hearings there is no conclusion but the Committee report contains them.

Mr. Sprague. I do not see from the hearings the public hearings accept from the printing of rules which we have gotten printed up and which will be distributed, what else is to be printed from that.

The Executive Sessions, and I am happy for the notes from the hearing yesterday that we had, I am happy for that to be available to whomsoever this Committee wants to have that available to. I do not think it is a matter just for general dissemination, unless the Committee wants to just have it published in the news media from here to there.

It seems to me this was available more for the Committee purposes should questions then arise but I have no feelings one way or theother about it.

Mr. Devine. Mr. Chairman, I think from the standpoint of Mr. Sprague, that he is entitled to be vindicated

2

1

3

5

7

6

9

10 . 11

12

13

· 15

16

, **17** 

. 18

19

21

22

23

24

25

at some time in the future on the charges having been made by our previous Chairman but I am afraid if those are printed up and made available to the public, that that is what will hit the headlines, again it reopens the wounds and I do not think that we are prepared to meet that at this particular time.

I think after we are reconstituted, assuming that happens, why them that is adequate time for the answers to be given to the charges made actually unless you feel some useful purpose would be served in trying to do it to the confrontation we are going to have with the House one of these days under the Rules Committee.

The Chairman. Well, actually, I agree with you. I was concerned because Mr. McKinney had warned us to have this done in Executive Session and a couple of other members had too, so thatathemecould then be sent to the other members or some of the other members and someone even mentioned putting it into the Congressional Record.

I think, putting it in the Congressional record even has the same, very same situation about it in terms of the news media grabbing that and getting back off where we now have them.

Mr. Edgar. Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman . Mr. Edgar.

Mr. Edgar. If they are trasncribed and typed out which

I assume they will be in another 24 hours or so, couldn't they be circulated to all the members of our Committee and then at some point in the future some sections of them may be necessary to share with others in case there is a question around reconstitution time, but as for now, we would just keep them within the confines of this Committee.

I think they ought to be circulated to those members who were not present, who have some questions, who may want to take a look at the data that was provided yesterday by Mr. Sprague.

Mr. Sprague. My thought is that a copy of them should be available to be with each member of this Committee for whatever purpose that member may then want to use it because it was really so that if questions exist in your minds or if people are asking you questions, at least you have the information as I state it to be to respond and I am happy to have, for each of you, to have a copy of that for your own purposes.

The Chairman. Does that satisfy everyone then.

Mr. Devine. That is fine with me.

The Chairman. Then, Mr. Sprague, in regard to the hearings so that we have a clear understanding on that, ordinarily when a committee goes to the floor with any type of report accompanying that report of course there are the printed hearings that have been conducted by that Committee, that is what I had in mind in terms of at

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

25

3

4

5

7

9

10

. 11

.. 13

- 15

14

16

<u>.</u>171

. 18-

19

20

21

22

\_ 24

25

at least my assumption of the Chair, that we would have all that printed up as hearings of the Committee, with the exclusion of course of anything that is in Executive Session unless we thought there was something in the Executive Session that should be released at that time.

Apparently there is nothing that anyone can think of.

Mr. Devine. I have not thought much about what we have done in Executive Session, is there anything that anyone feels should be brought out?

Mr. Edgar. Well, I think that whatever is sent to the House Floor has to be on the issues of the two assassinations problems and I think yesterday's record of the pleading of the 5th is an important thing to have available and at an appropriate time when we send over a report to have the public record of that hdaring make some sense. I do not know what the choreography of that is when that takes place. There may be two or three other public hearings that we may have next week. I do not know what the plans are, that may be included in that. Of course, with pleading the 5th you do not have many pages of testimony but whatever we can share with the House publicly to justify our existence, I think is important to get beyond the March 30 deadline.

The Chairman. Yes, I think that is very important.

All right, we will then see--because none of the members

have even had a chance to correct any of the transcribed

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

9

12

. 11

13

14

- 15

16

<u>.</u> 17

. 18

19 20

21

22

23

\_24

. 25

testimony before it does go to the printer, so that has to be done Also. So, if you will proceed to have that done, I would appreciate it.

Mr. Sprague. Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Edgar. Mr. Chairman, do we need any action on Mr. Preyer's proposed budget?

The Chairman. Yes.

I talked to him regarding that this morning. He had a markup in another Committee, also, said he would be here as soon as he could get here but I had hoped that we would be able to go ahead and take final action on that.

Mr. Ford Do we have any preliminary work at this point on the budget?

The Chairman. No, Mr. Ford, I think it is a matter of his report in Executive Session prior to any release of it in a public session.

Mr. Edgar. Here is a copy (handing).

Mr. Ford. I did not have a copy.

The Chairman. It is still in Executive Session and not to be released publicly because we have not yet sat with Mr. Thompson.

I talked to Mr. Preyer this morning about sitting with him on it as we did with Mr. Dent in getting his concurrence in it, and the procedure that we adopted was that we will not release any information to the public

1

3

5

7

9

10

12

- 13 14

- 15

16

.17

. 18

19

20 21

22

23

\_24

25

until such time as we have had the consent of both chairmen from the House Administration.

I want to lay before the Committee two letters that we received from Mr. Kenneth Bruton, Jr. which I think should be brought to the attention of the Committee along with the reply that has been prepared by Mr. Sprague.

May we have the Clerk read this correspondence.

Ms. Martin. "The Honorable Louis Stokes, Chairman, House Select Committee on Assassinations, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, D.C. Letter dated March 8." Dear Mr. Chairman.

"I submit herewith my resignation from the Staff of
the House Select Committee on Assassinations effect this
date or at such time hereafter as the members of the Select
Committee shall determine as fair and equitable. I resign
as a matter of province and not out of the belief that
the investigation should not be continued by some appropriate
body. The attention of the Select Committee is directed
to the fact that on or about January 11, 1977, while
acting in his capacity as Chief Counsel and Staff Director,
Richard A. Sprague represented to the Staff during the
course of the Staff meeting that, if certain Staff members
were to accept a 35 percent reduction in pay, that
he, Sprague, would see that such staff members were
reimbursed the full amount of the decrease in pay.

3

5

7

6

9

. 11

. 13 14

· 15

16

.17

. 18

19

... 20 21

. 22

23

, ·24

25

"In justifiable reliance upon the representations of your agent, I tend to render professional services to the Houae Select Committee which accepted such services. I understand that there is an admission of Mr. Sprague's representation in the record of the Committee proceedings for Monday, March 6, 1977.

"I bring this matter to your attenion so the Committee, while a duly constituted legal body, may take approprite saction to restore the pay reductions for January and February of 1977.

"Of course if the matter can be resolved equitably then litigation may be avoided. Also enclosed herewith are copies of telephone bills which I incurred while performing official duties as acting Staff Director and Chief Counsel under the former Staff Henry P. Gonzales. I trust this voucher will be issued promptly so I will be reimbursed for my actual expenses. I shall look forward to hearing from you as to the matter of the restoration of the January and February, 1977 reduction in my pay so that I may take appropriate action. I appreciate having had an opportunity to serve on the Staff of the Select Committee.

"With kind regards, I am Kenneth E. Bruton, Jr."

"Enclosed telephone bills for official calls."

Mr. Ford. What are they—what is the amount?

Mr. Howorth. About \$40.00.

Mas, MarinThe second letter dated March 10.

"The Honorable Louis Stokes, Chairman, House Select Committee on Assassinations, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, D.C.

"Dear Mr. Chairman.

"Enclosed herewith is a copy of a letter which was mailed to my former law firm in Gainesville, Florida, on Monday, March 7 1977 and which was received on Wednesday, March 9, 1977, at 2 o'clock p.m.

"On Tuesday, March 8, 1977- immediately following the acceptance by the House of Representatives of Chairman Gonzales' resignation, I mailed you my formal resignation from the Staff of the Select Committee, a copy of which is also enchosed.

"On Sunday, March 6, 1977, having completed my final reports to former Chairman Gonzales, I moved all of my possessions from my partment and flew to Florida.

"A number of people in Washington knew I was leaving Washington and resigning from the Committee. I was not going to tender my formal resignation until such time as the House accepted the resignation of Mr. Gonzales, for to do so would leave Mr. Gonzales without counsel. Since I had decided to resign immediately upon the acceptance by the House of the regisnation of Mr. Gonzales, I saw no reason to stay in Washington where the Committee was to hold a meeting as my presence at that point would simply

4

2

. 6

5

7

•

: 10

11:

12

: 13

14

15

16

**£17**.

18

19

20

-21

22

23

24

25

DocId:32266786 Page 14

provoke unnecessary confrontation.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

- 10

. 11

12

: 13

14

. 15

16

¿17

. 18

19

20

21

22

25

"On Wednesday, March 9, 1977, at 12:15 p.m. I learned from Congressman Gonzales' office that the Select Committee held a special meeting on Tuesday, March 8, 1977, for the sole purpose of firing me, notwithstanding the fact that my resignation was already in the mail to you and that the letter which I received on March 9 directed me to report to the Committee offices that same day. It is implicit in Mr. Lewis' letter that since I was not in the Staff offices since February 9, 1977, that I was not performing duties for the Select Committee.

"Enclosed for your informationis a copy of a telegram which I received from former Chairman Gonzales on February 11 1977. As you are no doubt aware, both at the request of former Chairman Gonzales as well as Congressman Richardson Preyer, Congressman Walter Fauntroy and Congressman Christofer Dodd, I did not go to the Committee Staff Offices so as to not cause unecessary confrontations. However, I enclose herewith copies of correspondence between myself and Richard Sprague and Majority Leader Wright relating to my continuing efforts to resolve some of the problems confronting the Select Committee.

"I bring this chronology to your attention as well as the copies of documents which I Have enclosed because I can only construe the calling of a special meeting to "fire"

23

DocId: 32266786 Page 15

3

4

5

7

9

8

10

12

. 11

13

14

· 15

16

. 17

... 18

19

. 20

21

22

23

\_24

25

me as a vindictive act on the part of Mr. Sprague who could have determined from a number of sources that I had already returned to Florida and intended to submit a formal written resignation as I, in fact, did.

"Accordingly, I will appreciate it if you will advise
me as to the following: (a) whether a meeting of the
Select Committee was held on Tuesday March 8 1977 and if so,
was that meeting held in compliance with the rules of the
House and the rules of the Select Committee and what
official action was taken?

"(b) The position of the Committee as to whether my resignation is accepted or whether Iswas "fired" and the effective date of the acceptance of my resignation or my "firing". I shall look forward to hearing from you. You may feel free to call me at my home in Florida 940-372-8021 should you wish to discuss this matter personally.

"With kindest regards, I am sincernly

"Kenneth E. Bruton, Jr."

The Chairman. O.K.

Is there any discussion regarding those letters or any comments that the members have?

Mr. Ford. Was he fired, Mr. Chairman, or his resignation accepted?

The Chairman. AT a meeting that was called as I recall the same day that I was appointed Chairman, we met in my

3

4 5

6

7

•

- 10

. 11

13

12

14

· 15

16

.17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

office. It was brought to my attention at that time or
to the Committee's attention at that time by Mr. Sprague
that both Mr. Bruton and a lady whose name I do not now recall
had not worked since the 11th day of February, I believe,
and for that reason we were asked to terminate their services

And the Committee at that time took a course of action and we sent our correspondence out that day notifying both persons as I recall it that their services were terminated.

Mr. Ford. I mean, did we accept the letter of resignation?

The Chairman. That hadnot at that time come in.

I think there is a date on that letter.

Mr. Ford. I was at that meeting that day, Mr. Chairman I do not recall that, probably—maybe I left;— out of the office but I do not recall our taking up any business on whether we should relieve him, fire him or accept his resignation.

Mr. Dodd. Oh, yes we took that.

The Chairman. Yes, Mr. Sprague brought it to our attention and we took action formally.

Mr. Devine. Mr. Chairman, I think one glaring error in the allegations is the fact that a meeting was called for the sole and specific purpose of firing him. That was not the purpose of the meeting being called, it was our first organizational meeting which, after your having

2

3

4

5

6

.

9

10

11

12

13

. 15

16

<sub>7</sub>17

. 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

been named chairman, we took up a number of things and that was actually incidental if I recall.

The Chairman. One of the items on the agenda, yes.

Mr. Devine. Yes.

Mr. Anderson. What about his charge that he was promised a 35 percent pay cut; that it would be restored?

Mr. Sprague. Mr. Chairman, we prepared for the Chairman, Mr. Stokes' response to the first letter. This is the first I have heard of the second letter.

With regard to that statement, as I believe I said at the session that we had previously, there was not a promise that a pay cut in fact would be restored.

what I said to the Staff, at the initial Staff meeting and what I also said to this committee when I was asked the question about it by Mrs. Burke, was that what I advised the STaff was that I would use my best efforts to see that those that were on the Staff when we would get our new budget would then have the amount of money that had been taken out of their pay, put back in for an interim period until they were made whole again. There was never an assurance that they would get that, and secondly part of what was the condition was that they still be on the STaff when we were recreated and had our new budget.

And that is stated in the proposed response by the

2

3

5

6 7

. 8

9

. 11

12

14

: 13

- 15

16

.17

**.** 18

19

21

. 20

22

23

\_24

25

Chairman.

Mr. Devine. Is that your proposed response?

The Chairman. Now, we have a proposed response to the first letter.

The second letter has just come in a few days ago into my office. Mr. Sprague has not seen that yet. The Clerk can read this proposed reply to the first letter.

Ms. Martin. Letter dated March 16 1977.

"Mr. Kenneth E. Bruton, Jr.,

"3301 Northwest 27th Terrace,

"Gainesville, Florida, 32605."

"Dear Mr. Bruton.

"I am in receipt of your letter of March 8, 1977, submitting your resignation from the Staff of the Select Committee on Assassinations and your request for reimbursement for certain phone calls and restoration of the pay reductions you agree to for January and February of 1977.

"Your 'resignation' of course, was obviated by the action of the Select Committee on March 8,1977 terminating your employment with the Committee's staff. The Committee will reimburse you for any phone calls made in pursuit of Committee business. Before any vouchers are processed, it will be necessary for you to submit to me the names of persons you called and a brief statement of the subject matter of each call for which you desire reimbursement.

"In our view, however, you have no valid claim for

2

3

5

7

6

9

10

. 11

12

: 13

14 - 15

16

17

. 18

19

20

21

--

. 23

.24

25

restoration of your voluntary pay reduction for January and February of 11977.

"Sincerely, Louis Stokes, Chairman. "

The Chairman. Approximately how much money are we talking about in terms of this claim?

Mr. Howarth. About \$2300.

The Chairman. About \$2300.

Mr. Howorth. Through March 8.

The Chairman. Are there any questions or comments?

Mr. Sprague. Mr. Chairman, may I also bring to your attention that other people have left our staff voluntarily and as to them as well, they have been advised that anybody leaving the Staff prior to recreation and the getting of a new budget, if we get that, does not get any of that pay differential back.

So the people leaving know that that same rule applies to them as well.

So, I want the Committee to be aware that there is nothing being done aimed at Mr. Bruton that is any different than anybody else.

The Chairman. Mr. Dodd.

Mr. Dodd. Mr. Chairman, just in response, I apologize for arriving late. The March 10 letter addressed to you from Mr. Bruton in which he lays out the chronology of events and so forth following his activities, I would like

3

**4 5** 

6

7

8

10

. 11

12

14

· 15

16

,.17<sup>.</sup>

. 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the record to reflect that when he points out at the bottom of the first page of his letter as you are aware and Congressmen Preyer and Fauntroy and myself, the reason he didn't go to the Committee office was not to avoid unnecessary confrontations, that was a request that was made by myself and Congressman Preyer and Fauntroy, over the weekend which Mr. Sprague was notified that his services were no longer needed by Mr. Gonzales, it was our opinion that particular time given the heat of the situation, it was probably advisable to stay away from the office for a period of time in order to prevent the exacerbation that could occur with his presence there. It was not inferred that he should stay away forever. I think it was made clear two or three times within the first day or two of the following week.

Mr. Ford. . What date are we talking about?

Mr. Dodd. The dates of that, what was that, Thursday, the date of that Thursday that we received that.

Mr. Sprague. I think it was about on the 10th.

Mr. Dodd. 10th or 11th of February.

Mr. Howorth. 10th of February.

Mr. Dodd. That was on a Thursday and then the Congressional recess over that weekend the following Monday
Mr. Bruton came back to Washington but remained in his
apartment rather than go down to the offices but it was

2

4

6

7

10

. 11 12

13

14

. 15

16

.17

...18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

never our intention at least certainly not mine that he should remain away from the offices indefinitely, it was just during that heated period I just wanted the record to reflect that. That allegation.

The Chairman. Right. I think that is good to have in the record.

Mr. Ford. Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman. Mr. Ford.

Mr. Ford. I am wondering whether or not-he was under the impression that he was working for the Chairman Gonzales at that time -- whether or not he had that impression, that he was to work out of his house or work out of Mr. Gonzales' office or what-have you, whether or not we should reconsider our action maybe and accept his resignation. I am not concerned about the 30 or 35 percent reduction he received or whether he should be compensated for that any more than we compensate others who resigned voluntarily, that we have to come back and do the same thing but if we are talking about March 8, the letter of March 8 and we are thinking in terms of this man is gone now from the Staff, why couldn't we just accept his resignation because we all know that we had some problems with the, you know, the Staff in this Committee, with the Chairman of this Committee at least and certain staff people.

**\_** 

2

1

**4 5** 

7

6

•

10

. 11 12

. 13

14 - 15

16

.17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

· 25

I just do not think that we ought to penalize a person and discharge him from the Staff when at the same time hehas shown a good-faith indication of his intent there to resign, why don't we just accept it and call him and pay him the \$40 forhis phone bills if he can justify them and get it over with?

The Chairman. Any comments?

Mr. Sprague. Mr. Chairman, it does not make any difference to me, as long as he is not here.

Mr. Ford. Well, that is a known fact now, though.

The Chairman. Well, we did send a letter to him in which we said "you are terminated as of thi date." I suppose in order to give consideration to what you are--

Mr. Ford. You see, we can address this letter, Mr. Chairman, we could address it to him March 8, we met on March 8, is that correct, in your office?

The Chairman. I think it was the 8th, wasn't it?
Mr. Sprague. I do not know.

Mr.Ford. And can we just address it to his letter addressed March 8, and the Committee would accept his resignation.

Mr. Sprague. Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman. Mr. Sprague.

Mr. Sprague. May I suggest that maybe a response to him might be that after reviewing that claim that

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

. 13

14

- 15

16

.17

18

19

20

21

22

23

\_24

25

he is making, it is the opinion of the Committee that he does not have a valid claim in terms of the salary, but in the interest of trying to be accommodating, that if he will, let us say, sign a release as to all such claims, the Committee might perhaps be willing to reconsider the action of the dismissal and accept the resignation as of that date, couched in language that would be appropriate.

Mr. Devine. It would have to be carefully worded because we do not want to hold it up like a bribe.

Mr Sprague. That is correct.

Mr. Devine. We want the record to reflect a resignation rather than firing in order that you drop your claim.

Mr. Edgar. I think that perhaps legal language sounds appropriate but I think as a matter of fact it would be looked upon by him as another act of aggression on our part toward him and I think that what Mr. Ford is suggesting is that we just resolve the matter as quietly as possible, and in fact he was fired on the same day he wrote the letter of resignation, I think, or very close to that, and it was a matter of that letter being in the mail and I think, just a brief note to him saying, we are now in receipt of your letter of resignation and in receipt of your second letter, we feel that your compensation is not justified, 35 percent claim, but we do, however, feel that we can at this time accept your resignation as opposed to